

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT  
DIVISION TWO

In re the Marriage of GILBERT II and  
AMY LYNN PAPAZIAN.

GILBERT PAPAZIAN II,  
Respondent,

v.

AMY LYNN PAPAZIAN,  
Appellant.

A114961, A116750, A117270

(San Mateo County  
Super. Ct. No. FL071764)

BY THE COURT:

It is ordered that the opinion filed herein on April 22, 2009, be modified as follows:

The first paragraph on page 14 of the opinion shall be deleted and replaced with the following: “Amy also argues that the trial court erred because it did not permit her adequate discovery prior to the hearing on the child support matters. We disagree. To the extent that the court did not rule directly on this issue, we presume the court denied her request. The documents Amy requested either predated the marital settlement agreement and/or were not relevant to the issue of child support. Therefore, the trial court did not abuse its discretion in denying Amy’s efforts to compel these documents. (*Best Buy Stores, L.P. v. Superior Court* (2006) 137 Cal.App.4th 772, 779.)”

This modification does not effect a change in the judgment.

The petition for rehearing is denied.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Haerle, Acting P.J.